

**COMPOSITE FOUNDATIONS ON MALAYSIAN SOFT CLAY SOIL:
APPLICATIONS OF INNOVATIVE TECHNIQUES**

KHAIRUL NIZAR B MOHD YUSOF

Submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Leeds
School of Civil Engineering

September 2012

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that
appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the
work of others

This copy has been supplied on the understanding that it is copyright material
and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper
acknowledgement

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. B.G. Clarke, for suggesting this thesis topic, and for encouragement, and insightful suggestions. I sincerely feel that without his help and guidance this work would not have been possible. It has been both a pleasure and an honour to have worked with him.

Much thankful also for Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia and University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for fees funding and scholarship.

Sincere appreciation is extended to the school of civil engineering, staff and fellow graduate students at the University of Leeds for their encouragement, support and friendship. In particular, I am thankful to Mr M Marsden for his expertise, cooperation and patience in this laboratory investigation. Thanks are also extended to Mrs M Martell and Prof. D.C. Wijeyesekera for their assistance and devotion.

I also wish to thank the mechanical workshop, the concrete wet lab, and the engineering store for their technical assistance.

I would like to personally thank Dr Rafidah Hamdan, Dr Norwati Jamaluddin, Dr Norshuhaila Mohamed Sunar, Dr Saiful Azhar Ahmad Tajuddin, Dr Aziman Madun, Dr Adnan Zainorabidin, Mr Godwin Eton, Mr Chris Robson, Mr Apo Peter Zaproš, Mr Umberto Armani, Mr Marco-Felipe King Costello, Mr Vu Thanh Son, Ms Floriane, Mr Steve, and also Mr Richard Vine for their assistance and encouragement, and most of all for wonderful friendship they have rewarded me. Extra thanks are extended to Assoc. Prof. Ir Azizan Abd Aziz, Prof. Dato' Dr Ismail Bakar, and JKIG's staff members for their encouragement.

Finally, without these guys, Mr Faslie Subari, Mr Knott Abdul Hamid, Mr Uzair Abdul Nasir, and Mr Hirman Sudairi Sugiman, their moral support, their understanding whilst am in Leeds, abit of their support this thesis would never have been completed. And not to forget, Mr Safian Ahmad and Mr Nazrey Omar.

ABSTRACT

An innovative technique of electro osmosis coupled with vertical surcharge loading to accelerate the consolidation and stiffen Kaolin (China Clay Grade E) was investigated in this study. The geotechnical properties of this China Clay Kaolin Grade E and the design of electro osmotic consolidation chamber are discussed together with an explanation of the procedural concept of the electro osmotic consolidation chamber (i.e., the preparation of the apparatus and the clay sample, assembling of the electro osmotic consolidation chamber; and the experimental work).

The plastic limit, liquid limit and plasticity index were 35%, 53% and 18% respectively. Therefore, China Clay Kaolin Grade E is classified as MH soil, and it is predominantly a silt with high plasticity. The specific gravity of the soil is 2.65. To ensure the kaolin is saturated, all samples were prepared in a similar manner with deaired water to produce a slurry at 150% of the liquid limit (initial moisture content of 79.5%).

The electro osmotic consolidation chamber was cylindrical and consisted of the body, the base and the top cap. The body and the base of the chamber were constructed of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube with a wall thickness of 10.9 mm, 345 mm high and 251 mm inner diameter. The electro osmotic consolidation chamber was assembled together with a 45 mm thick flange and collar. The top cap of this chamber was based on that of a Rowe cell of similar diameter.

Twenty one tests were performed in this study with an applied voltage and one test was a control test. The test samples in the twenty one tests were all consolidated to three different phases. In Phases 1 and 2, the samples were consolidated at 15 kPa while in the Phase 3, 50 kPa was used. The electro osmotic process was only performed during Phase 2. The time of treatment, numbers of electrodes, the arrangement of electrodes, and the applied voltages were investigated in these tests.

Results from these tests indicated that the China Clay Kaolin Grade E in a 79.5% slurry form responded well to electro osmotic treatment and that electro osmotic process increased the overall stiffness of the soil as indicated by the reduced relative settlement in Phase 3 with a pressure of 50kPa.

The water content around the anodes was less than that at the cathode creating zones of higher average constrained stiffness. The tests demonstrated that the longer the time of treatment, the greater the numbers of anodes, the shorter distance between the electrodes and the higher the applied voltages associated with electro osmosis increased the average stiffness of the soil mass confirming the concept of an electro osmotic pile.

Keywords: electro osmotic merged vertical loading and electro osmotic, consolidation, electro osmotic consolidation chamber, stiffening.



CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Acknowledgements | ii |
| Abstract | iii |
| List of Tables | viii |
| List of Figures | x |
| Abbreviations and Symbols | xvi |

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------|---|
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Background to the problem | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of study | 6 |
| 1.4 | The composite foundation | 6 |
| 1.5 | Scope of thesis | 7 |

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 2.1 | General | 8 |
| 2.2 | Peninsular Malaysian Soft Clay | 8 |
| 2.2.1 | Soft clay definition | 10 |
| 2.2.2 | Soft clay soil profiles in Peninsular Malaysia | 10 |
| 2.2.3 | Problems with soft clay soils | 10 |
| 2.2.4 | Soil improvement in Southeast Asia areas | 12 |
| 2.3 | Electro kinetic occurrence in clays | 17 |
| 2.4 | Electro osmosis | 22 |
| 2.4.1 | The theory of electro osmosis | 22 |
| 2.4.2 | Consolidation via electro osmosis | 28 |
| 2.4.2.1 | Excess pore water pressure production and consolidation by electro osmosis | 28 |
| 2.4.2.2 | Combined electro osmosis and external load induced consolidation | 31 |
| 2.5 | Historical review of electro osmosis consolidation | 39 |
| 2.6 | Costs of electro osmosis consolidation | 41 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.7 | Suitable applications for electro osmotic piles | 47 |
| 2.7.1 | Improving friction pile capacity | 47 |
| 2.7.2 | Trenching and excavation sites | 49 |
| 2.7.3 | Prevent swelling and shrinkage in foundations | 50 |
| 2.7.4 | Stabilise the unstable slope | 52 |
| 2.7.5 | Review of case histories of electro osmotic strengthening of piles | 54 |
| 2.8 | Effectiveness and efficiency of electro osmotic treatment | 56 |
| 2.8.1 | Energy consumption, E | 56 |
| 2.8.2 | Electro osmotic flow efficiency | 56 |
| 2.8.3 | Electro osmotic transport efficiency, k_t | 57 |
| 2.9 | Conclusions | 58 |

CHAPTER 3 THE LABORATORY PROCESS USED TO ACCESS ELECTRO OSMOTIC PILES

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 3.2 | The principles | 60 |
| 3.3 | The design | 61 |
| 3.3.1 | The electro osmotic consolidation chamber of its general Assembly | 61 |
| 3.3.2 | Test chamber | 63 |
| 3.3.3 | The base of the cell | 64 |
| 3.3.3.1 | Plugs | 68 |
| 3.3.4 | The top of the cell | 70 |
| 3.3.4.1 | Top plate and o-ring | 70 |
| 3.3.4.2 | Clamping bolts | 71 |
| 3.3.5 | The electrodes | 71 |
| 3.3.6 | The control systems | 75 |
| 3.4 | The procedure | 76 |
| 3.4.1 | Preparation of the apparatus | 76 |
| 3.4.2 | Electro osmotic consolidation chamber assembly | 77 |
| 3.5 | Experimental work | 82 |
| 3.5.1 | Kaolin sample | 82 |
| 3.5.1.1 | Soil classification test | 83 |
| 3.5.1.2 | Preparation of kaolin slurry | 83 |
| 3.5.2 | Loading stages | 83 |

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|----|
| 3.5.3 | Electro osmotic piles series | 84 |
| 3.5.4 | Control test | 89 |
| 3.5.5 | Parameters determination | 89 |
| 3.6 | An examples of the osmotic test | 89 |
| 3.7 | Conclusions | 97 |

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 4.1 | General | 98 |
| 4.2 | Control tests | 103 |
| 4.2.1 | Test conditions | 103 |
| 4.2.2 | Test results | 103 |
| 4.3 | Electro osmotic piles tests | 105 |
| 4.3.1 | Vertical displacement and water expelled versus time curves | 106 |
| 4.3.1.1 | Time of treatment tests series | 108 |
| 4.3.1.2 | Numbers of electrodes and arrangement of electrodes tests series | 111 |
| 4.3.1.3 | Different applied voltage tests series | 115 |
| 4.3.2 | Vertical displacement multiplied by area versus water expelled lines | 119 |
| 4.3.3 | Water expelled and current versus time curves | 120 |
| 4.3.3.1 | Time of treatment tests series | 121 |
| 4.3.3.2 | Numbers and arrangement of electrodes tests series | 122 |
| 4.3.3.3 | Different applied voltage tests series | 123 |
| 4.3.4 | Effect on water content, w_c | 130 |
| 4.3.5 | Effect on coefficient of volume compressibility, m_v | 135 |
| 4.3.6 | Effect on constrained stiffness, $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ | 138 |

CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 | Summary and conclusions | 146 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations for future research | 148 |
| | References | 149 |
| | Appendices | 159 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 1.1 | The hierarchy for construction on soft clays | 4 |
| Table 2.1 | Soft clay soils thickness in Peninsular Malaysia (Abdullah and Chandra, 1987) | 10 |
| Table 2.2 | Upper and lower depth ranges for a west costs deposits of Peninsular Malaysia (Aziz, 1993) | 11 |
| Table 2.3 | Upper and lower depth ranges for a west costs deposits of Peninsular Malaysia (Aziz, 1993) | 12 |
| Table 2.4 | Survey of existing methods for improving cohesive soils (after Eggestad, 1983) | 15 |
| Table 2.5 | Range of particle sizes for various soil Improvement approaches (reproduced From Hausmann, 1990, Lee et al., 1985) | 16 |
| Table 2.6 | Streaming potential, electro phoresis and sedimentation definitions (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 22 |
| Table 2.7 | Coefficients of electro osmotic permeability, k_e | 25 |
| Table 2.8 | Direct costs of the field tests as Performed (reproduced from Burnotte et al., 2004) | 42 |
| Table 2.9 | Weight constituent of total costs (reproduced from Alshawabkeh, 2001; Alshawabkeh et al., 1999a) | 43 |
| Table 2.10 | Cost of the treatment for stabilizing the Norwegian Quick Clay (reproduced from Bjerrum et al., 1967: 226) | 44 |
| Table 2.11 | Impact of electrode configuration on electrode requirements and size of in ineffective areas (reproduced from Alshawabkeh et al., 1999a:620) | 47 |
| Table 3.1 | Atterberg's limits and specific gravity of Kaolin grade E used in the tests | 82 |
| Table 3.2 | Chemical features of grade E white kaolin | 82 |
| Table 3.3 | Electrode layout | 85 |
| Table 3.4 | Series of experimental works | 88 |
| Table 3.5 | Summary of experiments after electro osmosis for Q3 sample treated with 5 Volts for 24 hours | 96 |
| Table 4.1 | Summary of the electro osmotic piles test | 99 |
| Table 4.2 | Computed results | 103 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.3 | Summary of settlement for test series of number of anodes and the distance between the electrodes | 114 |
| Table 4.4 | Summary of settlement for test series of different applied Voltage | 118 |
| Table 4.5 | Volume changed at the end of primary Consolidation on Phase 2 | 121 |
| Table 4.6 | End of time for primary consolidation and osmotic treatment under numbers and arrangement of electrodes tests series | 123 |
| Table 4.7 | End of time for primary consolidation and osmotic treatment under different applied voltage | 124 |
| Table 4.8 | Summary of A1V5 test series: e_o , m_v , $E_{\text{stiffness}}$, $E_{\text{pre-treatment}}$ and $E_{\text{build phase}}$ results | 129 |
| Table 4.9 | Summary of water content test, w_c (%) | 132 |
| Table 4.10 | Summary of numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: numbers of anodes and cathodes applied voltage, distance between electrode, ΔH and W | 135 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|---------------|---|----|
| Figure 1.1 | Flow chart to select appropriate deep ground improvement technique (reproduced from Bergado et al., 1994) | 2 |
| Figure 2.1 | Soft deposits distribution in Peninsular Malaysia map (Malaysian Highway Authority, 1989) | 8 |
| Figure 2.2 | Soft clay soils profile in Peninsular Malaysia (Abdullah and Chandra, 1987) | 11 |
| Figure 2.3 | Variation of electrical potential with distance from a charged surface (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 17 |
| Figure 2.4 | Double layer structure near the surface of the positively charged particles (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 19 |
| Figure 2.5(a) | Helmholtz-Smoluchowski model for electro kinetic phenomena (reproduced from (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 20 |
| Figure 2.5(b) | Electro osmotic flow in rigid-straight capillaries (reproduced From Casagrande, 1952b) | 20 |
| Figure 2.6 | Electro osmosis (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 21 |
| Figure 2.7 | Hydraulic flow in a capillary (reproduced from Casagrande, 1952b) | 22 |
| Figure 2.8 | k_e vs k_h for impervious type of drainage | 27 |
| Figure 2.9 | Pore water pressure induced at balance for the case of open cathode and closed anode (reproduced from Mitchell and Soga, 2005; Mitchell, 1993) | 30 |
| Figure 2.10 | Pore pressure distributions – electro osmotic consolidation superimposed on direct loading consolidation: $u_a/u_o = -1.0$ (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 33 |
| Figure 2.11 | Pore pressure distributions – electro osmotic consolidation superimposed on direct loading consolidation: $u_a/u_o = -2.0$ (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 33 |
| Figure 2.12 | Pore pressure distributions – electro osmotic consolidation superimposed on direct loading consolidation: $u_a/u_o = -4.0$ (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 34 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.13 | Average pore pressure versus time factor during electro osmotic superimposed on direct loading consolidation (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 34 |
| Figure 2.14 | Degree of consolidation versus time factor for merged electro osmosis and direct loading (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 36 |
| Figure 2.15 | Result of experimental investigation: excess pore water pressure at anode versus time for recompression from 49 kPa to 98.1 kPa (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 37 |
| Figure 2.16 | Result of experimental investigation: measured at anode and current density versus time during electro osmotic consolidation of remoulded kaolinite (after Wan and Mitchell, 1976) | 45 |
| Figure 2.17 | Approximate evaluation of ineffective areas for (a): 1-D and (b): 2-D electrode configurations (after Alshawabkeh et al., 1999a: 621) | 46 |
| Figure 2.18 | Pile converted to anodes (Pugh, 2002) | 48 |
| Figure 2.19 | Pile converted to cathodes (Pugh, 2002) | 48 |
| Figure 2.20 | Single phase treatments (Pugh, 2002) | 49 |
| Figure 2.21 | Double phase treatments (Pugh, 2002) | 50 |
| Figure 2.22 | Shrinkage treatments (Pugh, 2002) | 50 |
| Figure 2.23 | Swelling treatments (Pugh, 2002) | 51 |
| Figure 2.24 | Collapsing treatments (Pugh, 2002) | 51 |
| Figure 2.25 | Vertical installations (Pugh, 2002) | 53 |
| Figure 2.26 | Incline installations (Pugh, 2002) | 53 |
| Figure 3.1(a) | General arrangement of the electro osmotic consolidation chamber | 61 |
| Figure 3.1(b) | Dial gauge and other parts | 62 |
| Figure 3.2 | Schematic diagram of electro osmotic consolidation chamber | 62 |
| Figure 3.3(a) | Elevation of the osmotic chamber | 64 |
| Figure 3.3(b) | Plan view of the osmotic chamber A: clamping bolt type 1; B: clamping bolt type 2 | 64 |
| Figure 3.4 | The whole view from upper part of bottom base (A) and (B): locating bolts holder; (C): flange slot; (D): drainage port; (E): gland | 65 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.5 | Upper plan view base of the cell (A) and (B): locating bolts holder; (C): flange slot; (D): drainage port; (E): gland slots | 66 |
| Figure 3.6 | Bottom plate on top of the base of the cell and (B): locating bolts holder; (F): flange slots | 66 |
| Figure 3.7 | Vertically copper coiled spring electrodes together with the folded cylindrical filter paper | 67 |
| Figure 3.8 | Schematic diagram of the anode plug Installation | 67 |
| Figure 3.9 | Photograph of anode plug | 68 |
| Figure 3.10 | Photograph of cathode plug | 68 |
| Figure 3.11 | Schematic diagram of the cathode plug installation | 69 |
| Figure 3.12 | Blanking plug | 69 |
| Figure 3.13 | Schematic diagram of the blank plug Installation | 70 |
| Figure 3.14 | Clamping down bolt type 1(A) and 2(B) | 71 |
| Figure 3.15 | Copper coiled spring electrodes showing the brass mandrel, and (b) the spring | 72 |
| Figure 3.16 | Copper coiled spring electrodes together with the filter paper core | 73 |
| Figure 3.17 | Top section fitted with the hangar and solids steel | 74 |
| Figure 3.18 | The side view of hangar and the holder | 74 |
| Figure 3.19 | Elevation view of the gland | 75 |
| Figure 3.20 | Connected drainage connector from the base of the cell view | 76 |
| Figure 3.21 | Location of small o-ring seals | 77 |
| Figure 3.22 | Location of filter paper | 78 |
| Figure 3.23 | Pouring and shaking process | 79 |
| Figure 3.24 | Filter paper on the top of the top slurry surface | 80 |
| Figure 3.25 | Drainage valve | 81 |
| Figure 3.26 | Particle size distribution graph for grade E kaolin | 83 |
| Figure 3.27 | Approximate evaluation of ineffective areas for (a) 1-D and (b) 2-D electrode configurations | 87 |
| Figure 3.28 | Hexagonal layout for primary experimental work | 87 |
| Figure 3.29 | Results for Q3 on phase 1: vertical displacement versus time | 90 |
| Figure 3.30 | Results for Q3 on phase 2: vertical displacement versus time | 91 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 3.31 | Results for Q3 on phase 3: vertical displacement versus time | 91 |
| Figure 3.32 | Results for Q3 on phase 1: water expelled versus time | 92 |
| Figure 3.33 | Results for Q3 on phase 2: water expelled versus time | 92 |
| Figure 3.34 | Results for Q3 on phase 3: water expelled versus time | 93 |
| Figure 3.35 | Results for Q3: vertical displacement*area versus water expelled | 94 |
| Figure 3.36 | Results of Q3V516d test: water expelled and current versus time | 95 |
| Figure 3.37 | Results of Q3V516d test: moisture content versus distance | 96 |
| Figure 3.38 | Voids ratio for Q3V5 and control sample | 97 |
| Figure 4.1 | Result of control test: relative vertical displacement versus Time | 104 |
| Figure 4.2 | Result of control test: relative water expelled versus time | 104 |
| Figure 4.3 | Result of control test: vertical displacement*area versus water expelled | 105 |
| Figure 4.4 | Phase 1 results of A1V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 106 |
| Figure 4.5 | Phase 1 results of A1V5 tests series: water expelled versus time curves | 107 |
| Figure 4.6 | Phase 3 results of A1V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 107 |
| Figure 4.7 | Phase 2 results of A1V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 108 |
| Figure 4.8 | Phase 1 results of Q3V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 109 |
| Figure 4.9 | Phase 1 results of Q3V5 tests series: water expelled versus time curves | 109 |
| Figure 4.10 | Phase 3 results of Q3V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 110 |
| Figure 4.11 | Phase 2 results of Q3V5 tests series: settlement versus time curves | 110 |
| Figure 4.12 | Phase 1 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: settlement versus time curves | 112 |
| Figure 4.13 | Phase 2 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: settlement versus time curves | 112 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.14 | Phase 3 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: settlement versus time curves | 113 |
| Figure 4.15 | Phase 1 results for different applied voltage test series: settlement versus time curves | 116 |
| Figure 4.16 | Phase 2 results for different applied voltage test series: settlement versus time curves | 116 |
| Figure 4.17 | Phase 3 results for different applied voltage test series: settlement versus time curves | 117 |
| Figure 4.18 | Result of A1V5 for 1 day test: settlement*area versus water expelled | 119 |
| Figure 4.19 | Result of A1V53d days test: water expelled and current versus time | 120 |
| Figure 4.20 | Q3V5 test series: voids ratio versus time | 125 |
| Figure 4.21 | Q3V5 test series: voids ratio versus current in Phase 2 | 125 |
| Figure 4.22 | A1V5 test series: voids ratio versus time | 126 |
| Figure 4.23 | A1V5 test series: voids ratio versus current in Phase 2 | 126 |
| Figure 4.24 | Numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: voids ratio versus time | 127 |
| Figure 4.25 | Numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: voids ratio versus current in Phase 2 | 127 |
| Figure 4.26 | Different applied voltage test series: voids ratio versus time | 128 |
| Figure 4.27 | Different applied voltage test series: voids ratio versus current in Phase 2 | 128 |
| Figure 4.28 | Result of treatment of time tests series: w_c average versus Time | 133 |
| Figure 4.29 | Result of numbers and arrangement of electrode tests series: w_c average versus number of anodes | 133 |
| Figure 4.30 | Different applied voltage tests series: w_c average versus applied voltage | 134 |
| Figure 4.31 | Correlation graph of all results: m_v average e_o | 134 |
| Figure 4.32 | Result of A1V5 test series: m_v versus t | 136 |
| Figure 4.33 | Result of Q3V5 test series: m_v versus t | 136 |
| Figure 4.35 | Result numbers and arrangement of electrode test series: m_v versus number of anodes | 137 |
| Figure 4.35 | Result of different applied voltage test series: m_v versus V | 137 |
| Figure 4.36 | Result of A1V5 test series: $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ versus t | 140 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.37 | Result of Q3V5 test series: $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ versus t | 140 |
| Figure 4.38 | Result of numbers and arrangement of electrode test series: $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ versus t | 141 |
| Figure 4.39 | Result of different applied voltage test series: $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ versus t | 141 |
| Figure 4.40 | Relationship between $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ and number of anodes test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 142 |
| Figure 4.41 | Relationship between $E_{\text{stiffness}}$ and different applied voltage test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 142 |
| Figure 4.42 | Relationship between $E_{\text{build phase}}$ and $E_{\text{pretreatment}}$ and A1V5 test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 143 |
| Figure 4.43 | Relationship between $E_{\text{build phase}}$ and $E_{\text{pretreatment}}$ and Q3V5 test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 143 |
| Figure 4.44 | Relationship between $E_{\text{build phase}}$ and $E_{\text{pretreatment}}$ and arrangement of electrode test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 144 |
| Figure 4.45 | Relationship between $E_{\text{build phase}}$ and $E_{\text{pretreatment}}$ and different applied voltage test series: at the end of Phase 3 | 144 |



PT TAAUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU AMINAH

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| K_2O, Na_2O | alkalis (%) |
| Al_2O_3 | aluminium (III) oxide (%) |
| V | applied voltage (V) |
| Φ_{max} | applied voltage (V) |
| ΔE | applied voltage (V) |
| a | area (m^2) |
| A_{cell} | area of cell |
| $E_{stiffness}$ | average constrained stiffness (kPa) |
| CAN | Canadian dollar |
| q_a | capillary of flow rate (V/m per m^2) |
| k_e | coefficient of electro osmotic permeability (m^2/Vs) |
| k_h | coefficient of hydraulic conductivity (cm/s or m/s) |
| m_v | coefficient of volume compressibility (m^2/MN) |
| H_s | comparable height of surcharge (m) |
| C_1 | cost of electrodes per unit length ($\$L^{-1}$) |
| C_3 | cost of electrodes of the chemical agent ($\$M^{-1}$) |
| C_4 | cost of treatment per unit volume of the electrolyte (effluent) collected ($\$L^{-3}$) |
| nA | cross-sectional area of a void |
| I | current (A) |
| U | degree of consolidation (%) |
| R_d | delaying factor (dimensionless) |
| DC | direct current |
| δ | distance between the wall and the centre of the plabe of mobile charge |
| x | distance to the cathode (m) |
| Q | drainage rate (cm^3/s) |
| σ^* | effective electric conductivity of the soil medium |
| u^* | effective ionic mobility of the ion (m^2 per sec-V) |
| C_2 | electric energy cost (\$ per kWhour) |
| ϵ | electrical energy requirements per gallon discharged (kWh) |
| $\frac{dV}{dL}$ | electric field, or known as voltage gradient (V/m) |

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| $V(x)$ | electric potential at position x relative to the potential at the cathode at $x = 0$ (V) |
| k_i | electro osmotic transport efficiency ($\text{cm}^3/\text{Ampere-hours}$) |
| L | electrode spacing (m) |
| R_e | electrode radial spacing (m) |
| E | energy consumption (kWh per m^3) |
| u_a | excess pore pressure (kN/m^2) |
| F | Faraday's constant (96485 C/mol-electron) |
| α | factor depending upon the stoichiometry of the neutralizing reaction, dimensionless; |
| Fe_2O_3 | ferum (III) oxide (%) |
| v | flow velocity |
| Q_h | flow rate induced by hydraulic gradient |
| Q_e | flow rate induced by voltage gradient |
| η | fluid viscosity (Ns/m^2) |
| i_e, i_r | gradients |
| A | gross total cross-sectional area normal to the direction of flow (m^2) |
| A_{ineff} | ineffective area |
| u_o | initial excess pore pressure due to fill loading (kN/m^2) |
| L | length of the sample (m) |
| ΔL | layer thickness difference |
| w_L | liquid limit (%) |
| MHA | Malaysian Highway Authority |
| M_w | molecular weight of the neutralizing chemical (M/W) |
| H_e | negative pore water head generated by electro osmosis at $x = L/2$ (m) |
| Ψ | negative potential |
| N | number of capillaries (non dimensional) |
| F_1 | number of electrodes per cell |
| N | number of electrodes per unit surface area (cm^{-2}) |
| ε | permittivity of fluid (F/m) |
| w_p | plastic limit (%) |
| I_p | plasticity index (%) |
| PVC | polyvinyl chloride |
| u | pore water pressure (kN/m^2) |
| ζ | potential across the capillary, or known as zeta potential (V) |
| Ψ_o | potential at the surface |

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| B | reactive transport rate of a species relative to the electric conductivity of a medium |
| v | sample volume (L) |
| SiO ₂ | silicon oxide (%) |
| G _s | specific gravity |
| c _v | Terzhagi's coefficient of consolidation (m ² /year) |
| T _v | time factor (non dimensional) |
| C _{total} | total costs per unit volume of soil to be treated (\$L ⁻³) |
| h | total hydraulic head (m) |
| t | total time (h) |
| Q | total water expelled (cm ³) |
| Q | total volume flow rate (m ³ /s) |
| γ _w | unit weight of water (kN/m ³) |
| C ₅ | variable daily cost (monitoring, insurance, rentals, etc) (\$L ⁻³ T ¹) |
| v _h | velocity of water induced by hydraulic gradient |
| v _e | velocity of water induced by voltage gradient |
| e _o | voids ratio (nondimensional) |
| ΔE | voltage difference |
| H ₂ O | water |
| w _c | water content (%) |



LIST OF APPENDICES

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| APPENDIX A | Soil improvement approaches (reproduced from Hausmann, 1990; Lee et al., 1985) | 159 |
| APPENDIX B | Outline of several of the earlier electro osmosis experiments | 166 |
| APPENDIX C | Figure C1 Plan slot for clamping bolt type 1 (A) | 177 |
| | Figure C2 Elevation view for clamping bolt type 1 (A) from section AA | 177 |
| | Figure C3 Elevation view of slot clamping bolt type 1 (A) from section BB | 178 |
| | Figure C4 Plan of slot for clamping down bolt type 2 (B) | 178 |
| | Figure C5 Elevation view for clamping bolt type 2 (B) from section AA | 178 |
| | Figure C6 Elevation view of slot clamping bolt type 2 (B) from section BB | 179 |
| | Figure C7 Elevation view for bottom base | 180 |
| | Figure C8 Plan view of slot for clamping bolts and holding down | 181 |
| | Figure C9 Elevation view | 181 |
| | Figure C10 Plan view | 181 |
| | Figure C11 Plan view of bottom plate | 182 |
| | Figure C12 Details for white flat slotted screw | 183 |
| | Figure C13 Details for locating plastic screw holder | 183 |
| | Figure C14 Section for holding down plastic screw | 183 |
| | Figure C15 Section of anode plug | 184 |
| | Figure C16 Plan view of anode plug | 184 |
| | Figure C17 Section of cathode plug | 184 |
| | Figure C18 Plan view of cathode plug | 184 |
| | Figure C19 Section of solid plug | 185 |
| | Figure C20 Plan view of solid plug | 185 |
| | Figure C21 Top plate | 185 |
| | Figure C22 Details for gland locations | 186 |
| | Figure C23 Schematic diagram for wire access glands | 186 |
| | Figure C24 Elevation view for wire access glands | 186 |
| | Figure C25 Section of sealing plug | 187 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure C26 Details for drainage port | 187 |
| APPENDIX D Table D1 Summary of control test results | 188 |
| Table D2 Summary of A1V51d test results | 189 |
| Table D3 Summary of A1V53d test results | 190 |
| Table D4 Summary of A1V55d test results | 191 |
| Table D5 Summary of A1V57d test results | 192 |
| Table D6 Summary of A1V515d test results | 193 |
| Table D7 Summary of A1V519d test results | 194 |
| Table D8 Summary of Q3V51d test results | 195 |
| Table D9 Summary of Q3V53d test results | 196 |
| Table D10 Summary of Q3V55d test results | 197 |
| Table D11 Summary of Q3V57d test results | 198 |
| Table D12 Summary of Q3V515d test results | 199 |
| Table D13 Summary of Q3V517d test results | 200 |
| Table D14 Summary of Q1V515d test results | 201 |
| Table D15 Summary of Q2V516d test results | 202 |
| Table D16 Summary of Q4V516d test results | 203 |
| Table D17 Summary of A2V517d test results | 204 |
| Table D18 Summary of A2V1016d test results | 205 |
| Table D19 Summary of Q2V1015d test results | 206 |
| Table D20 Summary of Q4V1014d test results | 207 |
| Table D21 Summary of Q4V2012d test results | 208 |
| Figure D1 Phase 2 results of A1V5 test series: water expelled versus time curves | 209 |
| Figure D2 Phase 3 results of A1V5 test series: water expelled versus time curves | 209 |
| Figure D3 Phase 2 results of Q3V5 test series: water expelled versus time curves | 210 |
| Figure D4 Phase 3 results of Q3V5 test series: water expelled versus time curves | 210 |
| Figure D5 Phase 1 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: water expelled versus time curves | 211 |
| Figure D6 Phase 2 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: water expelled versus time curves | 211 |
| Figure D7 Phase 3 results for numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: water expelled versus time curves | 212 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure D8 Phase 1 results for different applied voltage test series: water expelled versus time curves | 212 |
| Figure D9 Phase 2 results for different applied voltage test series: water expelled versus time curves | 213 |
| Figure D10 Phase 3 results for different applied voltage test series: water expelled versus time curves | 213 |
| Figure D11 Result of A1V5 test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 214 |
| Figure D12 Result of Q3V5 test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 214 |
| Figure D13 Result of numbers and arrangement of electrodes test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 215 |
| Figure D14 Result of different applied voltage on A2 test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 215 |
| Figure D15 Result of different applied voltage on Q2 test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 216 |
| Figure D16 Result of different applied voltage on Q4 test series: settlement*area versus water expelled | 216 |
| Figure D17 Results of A1V51d test: water expelled and current versus time | 217 |
| Figure D18 Results of A1V55d test: water expelled and current versus time | 217 |
| Figure D19 Results of A1V57d test: water expelled and current versus time | 218 |
| Figure D20 Results of A1V515d test: water expelled and current versus time | 218 |
| Figure D21 Results of A1V519d test: water expelled and current versus time | 219 |
| Figure D22 Results of Q3V51d test: water expelled and current versus time | 219 |
| Figure D23 Results of Q3V53d test: water expelled and current versus time | 220 |
| Figure D24 Results of Q3V55d test: water expelled and current versus time | 220 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure D25 Results of Q3V57d test: water expelled and current versus time | 221 |
| Figure D26 Results of Q3V515d test: water expelled and current versus time | 221 |
| Figure D27 Results of Q3V517d test: water expelled and current versus time | 222 |
| Figure D28 Results of Q1V517d test: water expelled and current versus time | 222 |
| Figure D29 Results of Q2V516d test: water expelled and current versus time | 223 |
| Figure D30 Results of Q4V516d test: water expelled and current versus time | 223 |
| Figure D31 Results of A2V517d test: water expelled and current versus time | 224 |
| Figure D32 Results of A2V1016d test: water expelled and current versus time | 224 |
| Figure D33 Results of Q2V1015d test: water expelled and current versus time | 225 |
| Figure D34 Results of Q4V1014d test: water expelled and current versus time | 225 |
| Figure D35 Results of Q4V2012d test: water expelled and current versus time | 226 |
| Figure D36 Results of A1V51d test: water content versus distance | 226 |
| Figure D37 Results of A1V53d test: water content versus distance | 227 |
| Figure B38 Results of A1V55d test: water content versus distance | 227 |
| Figure D39 Results of A1V57d test: water content versus distance | 228 |
| Figure D40 Results of A1V515d test: water content versus distance | 228 |
| Figure D41 Results of A1V519d test: water content versus distance | 229 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure D42 Results of Q3V51d test: water content versus distance | 229 |
| Figure D43 Results of Q3V53d test: water content versus distance | 230 |
| Figure D44 Results of Q3V55d test: water content versus distance | 230 |
| Figure D45 Results of Q3V57d test: water content versus distance | 231 |
| Figure D46 Results of Q3V515d test: water content versus distance | 231 |
| Figure D47 Results of Q3V517d test: water content versus distance | 232 |
| Figure D48 Results of Q1V515d test: water content versus distance | 232 |
| Figure D49 Results of Q2V516d test: water content versus distance | 233 |
| Figure D50 Results of Q4V516d test: water content versus distance | 233 |
| Figure D51 Results of A2V517d test: water content versus distance | 234 |
| Figure D52 Results of A2V1016d test: water content versus distance | 234 |
| Figure D53 Results of Q2V1015d test: water content versus distance | 235 |
| Figure D54 Results of Q4V1014d test: water content versus distance | 235 |
| Figure D55 Results of Q4V2012d test: water content versus distance | 236 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Soft clays and peat soils are two kinds of soils which are known to have low strengths and low stiffnesses. The first is often a product of weathered material deposited in a marine environment; the second is derived from the decaying process of animals and plants. The mechanical characteristics of these soils are a function of their composition, history and current state. Engineering solutions have to be considered to alter these characteristics when building on or in these materials. These solutions range from permanent, hard solutions such as piled foundations, to time dependent improvement of the soil through consolidation. Piled solutions are often preferred as it reduces the risk of failure and excessive settlement but they are expensive and not sustainable given the use of primary resources. The alternative is to improve the ground using techniques such as preloading, often combined with prefabricated vertical drains and vertical sand drains; stone columns; mixing the soils with lime/cement (dry mixed method); stiffened columns; vacuum consolidation; and electro kinetics dewatering. A flow chart (Figure 1.1) developed by Bergado, et al. (1994) is a guide to the selection of the most appropriate ground improvement technique.

One method not shown on that chart yet has been in use for many years is that of electro osmotic consolidation in which water is forced out of the soil by passing an electric current through the soil between two electrodes. The technique is known to be successful at improving the mechanical characteristics but there are a number of challenges to overcome which means that the techniques has not been widely used. One of the key challenges is the breakdown of the electrodes with time stopping the process and contaminating the groundwater. Recent developments in electrodes have overcome these problems offering an alternative ground improvement technique.

An alternative approach is to use electro osmotic consolidation to create stiff soil columns within soft clay and combine that with a stiff granular layer to create a composite foundation. There is potential to use this composite foundation in soft clays in many parts of the world including Malaysia where much of the infrastructure of mainland Malaysia is built on the coastal plain.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A.M.L.B. and Chandra, P. (1987) *Engineering Properties of Coastal Subsoils in Peninsular Malaysia*, Proceedings of the 9th Southeast Asia Geotechnical Conference, Volume 1, Bangkok, Thailand, page 127 – 138.
- Acar, Y.B., Gale, R.J., Hamed, J. and Putnam, G. (1990) *Acid/Base Distributions in Electrokinetic Soil Processing*, Journal of Transportation Research Record 1288, page 23 – 34.
- Alshawabkeh, A.N., Sheahan, T.C. and Wu, X. (2004) *Coupling of Electrochemical and Mechanical Processes in Soils Under DC Fields*, Journal of Mechanics of Materials, Volume 36, pp 453 – 465.
- Alshawabkeh, A.N., Yeung, A.T. and Bricka, M.R. (1999b) *Practical Aspects of In-Situ Electrokinetic Extraction*, Journal of Environmental Engineering, Volume 125, Number 1, page 27 – 35.
- Alshawabkeh, A.N., Gale, R.J., Ozsu-Acar, E. and Bricka, R.M. (1999a) *Optimization of 2-D Electrode Configuration for Electrokinetic Remediation*, Journal of Soil Contamination, Volume 8, Number 6, page 617 – 635.
- Aziz, S. (1993) *Engineering Characteristics of the Coastal Soft Soils of West Peninsular Malaysia*, M. Phil. Thesis, University of Strathclyde, United Kingdom.
- Balasubramaniam, A.S., Bergado, D.T. and Chandra, S. (1985) *Geotechnical Engineering in Southeast Asia – A Commemorative Volume of the Southeast Asia Geotechnical Society*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Boston.
- Ballou, E.V. (1955) *Electroosmotic Flow in Homoionic Kaolinite*, Journal of Colloid Science, Volume 10, page 450 – 460.
- Barker, J.E., Rogers, C.D.F., Boardman, D.I., and Peterson, J. (2004) *Electrokinetic Stabilisation: Overview and Case*, Ground Improvement, Vol. 8, No. 2, page 47 – 58.

- Bazozuk, M. and Labrecque, A. (1969) *Downdrag Measurements on 270-ft Composite Piles*, ASTM Special Technical Publications, No. 444, Research Paper No. 395, page 15 – 19.
- Bergado, D.T., Sasanakul, I., and Horpibulsuk, S. (2003) *Electro-Osmotic Consolidation of Soft Bangkok Clay using Copper and Carbon Electrodes with PVD*, Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 26, No. 3, page 1 – 12.
- Bergado, D.T., Chai, J.C., Alfaro, M.C. and Balasubramaniam, A.S. (1994) *Improvement Techniques of Soft Ground in Subsiding and Lowland Environment*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Brookfield, Netherlands.
- Bjerrum, L., Moum, J. and Eide, O. (1967) *Application of Electro-Osmosis to a Foundation Problem in a Norwegian Quick Clay*, Géotechnique, Issue. 17, page 214 – 235.
- Brand, E.W. and Brenner, R.P. (1981) *Soft Clay Engineering*, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1981.
- Bergado, D.T., Chai, J.C., Alfaro, M.C. and Balasubramaniam, A.S. (1994) *Improvement Techniques of Soft Ground in Subsiding and Lowland Environment*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Brookfield, Netherlands.
- Burnotte, F., Lefebvre, G. and Grondin, G. (2004) *A Case Record of Electroosmotic Consolidation Soft Clay with Improved Soil-Electrode Contact*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 41, page 1038 – 1053.
- Bergado, D.T., Sasanakul, I., and Horpibulsuk, S. (2003) *Electro-Osmotic Consolidation of Soft Bangkok Clay using Copper and Carbon Electrodes with PVD*, Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 26, No. 3, page 1 – 12.
- Butterfield, R. and Johnston, I.W. (1980) *The Influence of Electro-Osmosis on Metallic Piles in Clay*, Géotechnique, Number 1, Issue 30, page 17 – 38.
- Casagrande, L., Loughney, R.W. and Matich, M.A.J. (1961) *Electro-Osmotic Stabilization of High Slope in Loose Saturated Silt*, 5th International Conference on Soil Mechanics, Paris, Volume II, page 555 – 561.

- Casagrande, L. (1952b) *Electro-Osmotic Stabilization of Soils*, Journal of Boston Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 34, Number 1, pp 285 – 317.
- Casagrande, L (1952a) *Electrical Stabilization in Earthwork and Foundation Engineering*, Proceedings of Conference on Soil Stabilization, Massachusetts, pp 84 – 106.
- Casagrande, L. (1949) *Electro-Osmosis in Soils*, Géotechnique, Volume 1, Number 2, page 159 – 177.
- Chappell, B.A. and Burton, P.L. (1975) *Electro-Osmosis Applied to Unstable Embankment*, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division, Issue. 11495, page 733 – 740.
- Chen, J.-L. and Murdoch, L. (2002) *Effects of Electroosmosis on Natural Soil*, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, Volume 125, Number 12, page 1090 – 1098.
- Das, B.M. (2010) *Principles of Geotechnical Engineering*, Cengage Learning, Stamford, USA.
- Eggstad, Å. (1983) *Improvement of Cohesive Soils*, Proceedings of the Eight European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Volume 3, pp 991 – 1007.
- Eggstad, Å. And Føyn, T. (1983) *Electro-Osmotic Improvement of a Soft Sensitive Clay*, Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering – Improvement of Ground, page 597 – 603.
- Esrig, M.I. (1968) *Pore Pressures, Consolidation, and Electrokinetics*, Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 94, Number SM4, page 899 – 921.
- Eykholt, G.R. and Daniel, D.E. (1994) *Impact of System Chemistry on Electroosmosis in Contaminated Soil*, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 120, No. 5, page 797 – 815.

- Fetzer, C.A. (1967) *Electro-Osmotic Stabilization of West Branch Dam*, Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 93, SM4, page 85 – 106.
- Gray, D.H. (1970) *Electrochemical Hardening of Clay Soils*, Géotechnique, Volume 20, Number 1, page 81 – 93.
- Gray, D.H. and Mitchell, J.K. (1967) *Fundamental Aspects of Electro-Osmosis in Soils*, Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Volume 93, SM6, page 209 – 236.
- Hamir, R. (1997) *Some Aspects and Applications of Electrically Conductive Geosynthetic Materials*, Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K.
- Hamir, R., Jones, C.J.F.P. and Clarke, B.G. (2001) *Electrically Conductive Geosynthetics for Consolidation and Reinforced Soil*, Geotextiles and Geomembranes, Volume 19, page 455 – 482.
- Hausmann, M. R (1990) *Engineering Principles of Ground Modification*, McGraw-Hill, Singapore.
- Head, K.H. (1994) *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing, Volume 2: Permeability, Shear Strength and Compressibility Tests*, London: Pentech Press Limited Graham Lodge.
- Howell, A.E. (1970) *Construction of the Main Canals on the Muda Irrigation Project*, Proceedings 2nd Southeast Asian Conference on Soil Engineering, Singapore, page 149 – 161.
- Kalumba, D. (2006) *Remediation of Heavy Metal Contaminated Fine Grained Soils using Electrokinetic Geosynthetics*, Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K.
- Kamarudin, A., Khairul Anuar, K. and Mohd Raihan, T. (2006) *Electroosmotic Flows and Electromigrations during Electrokinetic Processing of Tropical Residual Soils*, Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering, 18(2), page 74 – 88 .

- Kobayashi, Y., Todo, H., Weerasinghe, W.A.Y. and Chandra, P. (1990) *Comparison of Coastal Clay found in Singapore, Malaysia and Indonesia*, 10th Southeast Asian Geotechnical Conference, 16th – 20th April 1990, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Lambe, T.W. (1958) *The Structure of Compacted Clay*, Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society and Civil Engineers, Volume 84, Number 2, pp 1 – 34.
- Lee, S.L., Ramaswamy, S.D., Karunaratne, G.P., Lo, K.W., Yong, K.Y. and Moh, Z.C. (1985) *Ground Improvement Works in South-East Asia*, Geotechnical Engineering in Southeast Asia, A.A. Balkema/Rotterdam/Boston, page 97 – 110.
- Lefebvre, G. and Burnotte, F. (2002) *Improvements of Electroosmotic Consolidation of Soft Clays by Minimizing Power Loss at Electrodes*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 39, page 399 – 408.
- Ledgerwood, E. (1990) *Short Geological Report on the Muda River Project, (Irrigation)*, Depth of Geology Survey, Min. of Rural Dev. Report.
- Lo, K.Y. and Shang, J.Q. (1994) *Effects of Intervening Media on Dielectrophoretic Strengthening of Soft Clays*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 31, page 607 – 613.
- Lo, K.Y., Shang, J.Q. and Inculet, I.I. (1994) *Electrical Strengthening of Clays by Dielectrophoresis*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 31, page 192 – 203.
- Lo, K.Y., Ho, K.S. and Inculet, I.I. (1992) *A Novel Technique of Electrical Strengthening of Soft Sensitive Clays by Dielectrophoresis*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 29, page 599 – 608.
- Lo, K.Y., Inculet, I.I. and Ho, K.S. (1991a) *Electroosmotic Strengthening of Soft Sensitive Clays*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 28, page 62 – 73.
- Lo, K.Y., Ho, K.S. and Inculet, I.I. (1991b) *Field Test of Electroosmotic Strengthening of Soft Sensitive Clays*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 28, page 74 – 83.

- Lo, K.Y., Ho, K.S. and Inculet, I.I. (1991c) *The Effects of Electroosmotic Field Treatment on The Soil Properties of a Soft Sensitive Clays*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 28, page 763 – 770.
- Lockhart, N.C. (1983c) *Electroosmotic Dewatering of Clays. III. Influence of Clay Type, Exchangeable Cations and Electrode Materials*, Journal of Colloids and Surfaces, Volume 6, Number 3, page 253 – 269.
- Lockhart, N.C. (1983b) *Electroosmotic Dewatering of Clays. II. Influence of Salt, Acid and Flocculants*, Journal of Colloids and Surfaces, Volume 6, Number 3, page 239 – 251.
- Lockhart, N.C. (1983a) *Electroosmotic Dewatering of Clays. I. Influence of Voltage*, Journal of Colloids and Surfaces, Volume 6, Number 3, page 229 – 238.
- Malaysian Highway Authority (1989) *Proceeding of the International Symposium on Trial Embankment on Malaysian Marine Clay*, Kuala Lumpur, Volume 1.
- Malusis, M.A. and Shackelford, C.D. (2002) *Chemico-Osmotic Efficiency of a Geosynthetic Clay Liner*, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Volume 128, Number 2, page 97 – 106.
- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q. and Lo, K.Y. (2003a) *Improvement of the Load-Carrying Capacity of Offshore Skirted Foundations by Electrokinetics*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 40, page 949 – 963.
- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q. and Lo, K.Y. (2003b) *Electrokinetics Strengthening of Soil Surrounding Offshore Skirted Foundations*, in Proceedings of the 13th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 25 – 30 May 2003, ISOPE, Cupertino, California, page 665 – 672.
- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q. and Lo, K.Y. (2002c) *Bearing Capacity Enhancement of Skirted Foundation Element by Electrokinetics*, in Proceedings of the 12th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Kitakyushu, Japan, ISOPE, 25 – 31 May 2001, Volume 2, Cupertino, California, page 569 – 577.

- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q. and Lo, K.Y. (2002b) *Electro-Cementation of a Marine Clay Induced by Electrokinetics*, in Proceedings of the 12th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Kitakyushu, Japan, ISOPE, 25 – 31 May 2001, Volume 2, Cupertino, California, page 569 – 577.
- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q. and Mohamedelhassan, E., (2002a) *Bearing Capacity Enhancement of Skirted Foundation Element by Electrokinetics*, JSC, page 174 – 182.
- Micic, S., Shang, J.Q., Lo, K.Y., Lee, Y.N. and Lee, S.W. (2001) *Electrokinetic Strengthening of a Marine Sediment using Intermittent Current*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 38, page 287 – 302.
- Mitchell, J.K. (1993) *Fundamentals of Soil Behaviour*, 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., United States of America.
- Mitchell, J.K. (1991) *Conduction Phenomena: from Theory to Geotechnical Practice*, Géotechnique, Volume 41, Number 3, page 299 – 340.
- Mitchell, J.K. and Soga, K. (2005) *Fundamentals of Soil Behaviour*, 3rd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., United States of America.
- Mitchell, J.K. and Wan, T.-Y. (1977) *Electro-Osmotic Consolidation – Its Effects on Soft Soils*, Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Volume 1, page 219 – 224.
- Mohamedelhassan, E.E. (2011) *Laboratory Model Test on Improving the Properties of Soft Clay by Electrokinetics*, International Scholarly Research Network, ISRN Civil Engineering, Volume 2011.
- Mohamedelhassan, E.E. (1998) *Electroosmotic Permeability of a Marine Sediment*, Master Thesis, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario.
- Morris, D.V., Hillis, S.F. and Caldwell, J.A. (1985) *Improvement of Sensitive Silty Clay by Electroosmosis*, Journal of Canadian Geotechnical, Issue 22, page 17 – 24.
- Murayama, S., and Mise, T. (1953) *On the Electrochemical Consolidation of Soil using Aluminium Electrodes*, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Switzerland, Vol. 1, page 156 – 159.

Nagaraj, T.S. and Miura, N. (2001) *Soft Clay Behaviour Analysis and Assessment*, Netherlands, A.A. Balkema.

Pączkowska, B. (2005) *Electroosmotic Introduction of Methacrylate Polycations to Dehydrate Clayey Soil*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 42, page 780 – 786.

Pamukcu, S. (1997) *Electro-Chemical Technologies for In-Situ Restoration of Contaminated Subsurface Soils*, EJGE Paper 1997-04, page 1 – 37.
<http://ejge.com/1997/Ppr9704/Ppr9704.htm> on 25.04.2007.

Pugh, R.C. (2002) *The Application of Electrokinetic Geosynthetic Materials to Uses in The Construction Industry*, PhD Thesis, School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K.

Rittirong, A., Shang, J.Q., Mohamedelhassan, E., Ismail, M.A., and Randolph, M.F. (2008) *Effect of Electrode Configuration on Electrokinetic Stabilization for Caissons in Calcareous Sand*, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 134, No. 3, page 352 – 365.

Rittirong, A., Shang, J.Q., Ismail, M.A. and Randolph, M.F. (2006) *Effect of Electric Field Intensity on Electro-Cementation of Caissons in Calcareous Sand*, in Proceedings of the 16th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, San Francisco, California, USA, ISOPE, May 28 – June 2, Cupertino, California, page 408 – 415.

Schultz, D.S. (1997) *Electroosmosis Technology for Soil Remediation: Laboratory Results, Field Trial and Economic Modeling*, Journal of Hazardous Materials, Volume 55, page 81 – 91.

Segall, B.A. and Bruell, C.J. (1992) *Electroosmotic Contaminant-Removal Process*, Journal of Environmental Engineering, ASCE, Volume 118, Number 1, pp 84 – 100.

Shang, J.Q., Mohamedelhassan, E. and Ismail, M.A. (2004a) *Electrochemical Cementation of Offshore Calcareous Soil*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 41, No. 5, page 877 – 893.

- Shang, J.Q., Mohamedelhassan, E., Ismail, M.A. and Randolph, M.F. (2004b) *Electrochemical Cementation of Calcareous Sand for Offshore Foundations*, JSC, page 170 – 177.
- Shang, J.Q. (1997a) *Electrokinetic Sedimentation: A Theoretical and Experimental Study*, Journal of Canadian Geotechnical, Vol. 34, pp 305 – 314.
- Shang, J.Q. (1997b) *Zeta Potential and Electroosmotic Permeability of Clay Soils*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 34, pp 627 – 631.
- Shang, J.Q. (1997c) *Electrokinetic Dewatering of Clay Slurries as Engineered Soil Covers*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Volume 34, pp 78 – 86.
- Shang, J.Q. and Lo, K.Y. (1997) *Electrokinetic Dewatering of a Phosphate Clay*, Journal of Hazardous Materials, Volume 55, pp 117 – 133.
- Shang, J.Q. and Dunlap, W.A. (1996) *Improvement of Soft Clays by High-Voltage Electrokinetics*, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Volume 122, Number 4, page 274 – 280.
- Shukla, K.P. (1953) *Electro-Chemical Treatment of Clays*, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Switzerland, Vol. 1, page 199 – 202.
- Soderman, L.G. and Milligan, V. (1961) *Capacity of Friction Piles in Varved Clay Increased by Electro-Osmosis*, 5th International Conference on Soil Mechanics, Paris, Volume II, page 143 – 147.
- Soo, S.S., Jeong, S.Y., Koh, K.H., Kim, J.Y. and Jang, Y.S. (2006) *A Study on Improvement on Marine Clay through the Electrolytic Leaching Effect in an Electrode*, Proceedings of the 16th (2006) International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, San Francisco, California, USA, page 540 – 545.
- Suter, S. (2008) *Development of a Composite Foundation Using Electrokinetic Dewatering*, Master of Engineering Thesis, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K.
- Taylor, R.G. and James, P.G. (1967) *Geotechnical Aspects of the Muda Irrigation Project*, Proceedings 1st Southeast Asian Conference on Soil Engineering, Bangkok, page 33 – 42.

- Terzhagi, K., Peck, R.B. and Mesri, G. (1996) *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice*, 3rd Edition, New York, Wiley.
- Ting, W.H. and Chan, S.F. (1971) *Bearing Capacity of Bakau Timber Piles in the Coastal Alluvium of West Malaysia*, Proceedings 4th Asian Regional Conference on Soil Mechanic and Foundation Engineering, Bangkok, Volume 1, page 317 – 322.
- Ting, W.H., Ooi, T.A. and Chong, S.M. (1975) *An Analysis of Pile Behaviour in Prai Area*, Proceedings 4th Asian Regional Conference on Soil Mechanic and Foundation Engineering, Bangkok, Volume 1, page 328 – 334.
- Ting, W.H. and Ooi, T.A. (1977) *Some Properties of the Coastal Alluvia of Peninsular Malaysia*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Soft Clay, Bangkok, page 89 – 101.
- Wan, T.-Y. & Mitchell, J.K. (1976) *Electro-Osmotic Consolidation of Soils*, Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Volume 102, GT5, page 473 – 491.
- Yee, K. and Ong, R. (2006) *Ground Improvement Techniques Concepts and Applications*, 2 Day Technical Course on Geotechnical Engineering, The Institution of Engineers, Malaysia, Lecture: 8, pp 1 – 25.
- Yodsudjai, W., Otsuki, N. and Nishida, T. (2006) *Feasibility Study on Soil Improvement using Electrochemical Technique*, Technology and Innovation for Sustainable Development Conference (TISD2006), Thailand, page 117 – 121.